

Algebraic Analysis of the Hypergeometric Function ${}_1F_1$ of a Matrix Argument

Anna-Laura Sattelberger (MPI MiS Leipzig)

Online Seminar on Computational Algebra

November 27, 2020



What is this talk about?

[3] P. Görlach, C. Lehn, and A.-L. S.: *Algebraic Analysis of the Hypergeometric Function ${}_1F_1$ of a Matrix Argument*. *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*, November 2020.

Computational Algebraic Analysis

- ▶ investigation of linear partial differential equations by algebraic methods
- ▶ tackle concrete problems in the sciences by computer-aided computations
- ▶ **exploit** and **construct** algorithms and software

Schedule

- 1 Hypergeometric functions of a matrix argument
- 2 D -Modules behind
- 3 Characteristic variety and singular locus

Hypergeometric Functions of a Matrix Argument

Hypergeometric functions

- ▶ Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. The **hypergeometric series** ${}_pF_q$ is

$${}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p; c_1, \dots, c_q)(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_q)_n} \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

where $(a)_n = a \cdot (a+1) \cdots (a+n-1)$ denotes the **Pochhammer symbol**.

- ▶ $p < q + 1$: entire function
 - ▶ $p = q + 1$: convergent for $|x| < 1$, divergent for $|x| > 1$
 - ▶ $p > q + 1$: divergent except at $x = 0$
- ▶ omnipresent in Hodge Theory, Physics, Toric Geometry, and many more

Examples

- ▶ ${}_0F_0(x) = \exp(x)$
- ▶ ${}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; c)(x)$ Gauß' hypergeometric function
- ▶ ${}_1F_0(a; x) = (1-x)^{-a}$
- ▶ ${}_2F_2$ and ${}_0F_1$ related to Bessel's functions

Zonal polynomials

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition of $d = |\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m \geq 0$. The **zonal polynomial** $C_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ is a certain symmetric homogeneous polynomial.

Zonal polynomials of a matrix argument

Let $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be a square matrix and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ a partition. One defines the zonal polynomial $C_\lambda(X)$ as

$$C_\lambda(X) := C_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m),$$

where x_1, \dots, x_m are the eigenvalues of X .

Hypergeometric functions of a matrix argument

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. The **hypergeometric series** ${}_pF_q$ of a matrix argument $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is

$${}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p; c_1, \dots, c_q)(X) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{(a_1)_\lambda \cdots (a_p)_\lambda}{(c_1)_\lambda \cdots (c_q)_\lambda} \frac{C_\lambda(X)^n}{n!},$$

where the λ are partitions of n , $(\bullet)_\lambda$ denotes the **generalized Pochhammer symbol**

$$(a)_\lambda := \prod_{i=1}^m \left(a - \frac{i-1}{2} \right)_{\lambda_i}.$$

- ▶ ${}_1F_1$ related to distribution of largest eigenvalue of Wishart matrices
 - ▶ stated in Muirhead's book [8]
 - ▶ holonomic gradient method in [4]
- ▶ ${}_0F_1$ related to the Fisher distribution
 - ▶ holonomic gradient descent for the Fisher distribution on $SO(3)$ in [13]
 - ▶ further study of the equivariant D -module in [6]
 - ▶ D -ideal generalized to compact Lie groups other than $SO(n)$ in [1]

D-Modules behind

The Weyl algebra

The **Weyl algebra** is the **non-commutative** algebra

$$D := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \langle \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle,$$

where the non-commutativity is given by Leibniz' rule $[\partial_i, x_j] = \delta_{ij}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.
A **D -ideal** (resp. **D -module**) is a **left D -ideal** (resp. **D -module**).

Some facts

- ▶ Elements of D are linear differential operators with polynomial coefficients:

$$D \ni P = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha \partial^\beta, \quad c_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- ▶ A D -module M is a natural generalization of linear PDEs.
- ▶ $\text{Hom}_D(M, \mathcal{O})$ is the space of holomorphic solutions to M .

Characteristic variety and singular locus

The **characteristic variety** of a D_n -ideal I is the subscheme $\text{Char}(I)$ of \mathbb{A}^{2n} determined by

$$\text{in}_{(0,1)}(I) = \langle \text{in}_{(0,1)}(P) \mid P \in I \rangle \triangleleft \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n].$$

I is **holonomic** if $\dim \text{Char}(I) = n$. The **singular locus** of I is the set

$$\text{Sing}(I) := \bigcup_{Z \subseteq \text{Char}(I)} \overline{\pi_x(Z)} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n,$$

where Z runs over all irreducible components of $\text{Char}(I)$ distinct from the zero section $\{\xi_1 = \dots = \xi_n = 0\}$ as sets.

Theorem (Sato–Kawai–Kashiwara)

Let I be a holonomic D_n -ideal. Then every irreducible component Z of $\text{Char}(I) \subseteq T^\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{A}_x^n \times \mathbb{A}_\xi^n$ is a conormal variety to its projection to \mathbb{A}_x^n . In particular, Z is Lagrangian.*

Denote the **rational Weyl algebra** by

$$R_n := \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \langle \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle.$$

Theorem (Cauchy–Kovalevski–Kashiwara)

Let I be a holonomic D -ideal. Outside $\text{Sing}(I)$, the space of holomorphic solutions on a simply connected domain to I has dimension

$$\text{rank}(I) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}(x)}(R/RI).$$

Computation of the singular locus

- ▶ For a single $P \in D$, the singular locus is easy to read.
- ▶ For a general D -ideal, computer algebra systems can make life easier in “small” examples.
- ▶ Implementations are available in `Macaulay2` or `Singular:Plural`.

Holonomic functions

Many function spaces are D -modules in a natural way.

Definition

Let $M \in \text{Mod}(D)$. An element $f \in M$ is **holonomic**, if its annihilating D -ideal is holonomic.

Facts & features

- ▶ Holonomic functions are encoded by their annihilating D -ideal together with finitely many initial conditions.
- ▶ They possess good closure properties.
- ▶ Many special functions arising in the sciences are holonomic.
- ▶ Various holonomic functions are implemented in the Mathematica package `HolonomicFunctions`.
- ▶ numerical evaluation (resp. local minimization) via the [holonomic gradient method](#) (resp. [holonomic gradient descent](#))

Definition

Let I be a D -ideal. Its **Weyl closure** is the D -ideal $W(I) := RI \cap D$.

Some features

- ▶ The Weyl closure turns a D -ideal with finite holonomic rank into a **holonomic** D -ideal.
- ▶ The Weyl closure contains all annihilating operators of a holomorphic solution to I at a generic point.
- ▶ Computationally expensive!

Muirhead's D -ideal

$$X = \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$$

Annihilating D -ideal of ${}_1F_1$ [8]

The linear partial differential operators

$$g_k := x_k \partial_k^2 + (c - x_k) \partial_k + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{x_\ell}{x_k - x_\ell} (\partial_k - \partial_\ell) \right) - a \in R_m,$$

$k = 1, \dots, m$, annihilate ${}_1F_1(a; c)(X)$ wherever they are defined. Denote by $P_k \in D$ the differential operator obtained from g_k by clearing the denominators. The **Muirhead ideal** is the D -ideal $I_m := \langle P_1, \dots, P_m \rangle$.

[3, Proposition 5.6] (refining [8, Theorem 7.5.6])

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\frac{k}{2} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \leq m-1\}$. Then ${}_1F_1(a; c)$ is the unique formal power series solution to I_m around 0 with ${}_1F_1(a; c)(0) = 1$. In particular, ${}_1F_1(a; c)$ is the unique convergent power series solution to I_m around 0 with ${}_1F_1(a; c)(0) = 1$.

[4, Theorem 2]

For the graded lexicographic term order on R_m , a Gröbner basis of $R_m I_m$ is given by $\{g_k = x_k \partial_k^2 + \text{l.o.t.} \mid k = 1, \dots, m\}$.

[3, Corollary 4.4]

The holonomic rank of I_m is given by $\text{rank}(I_m) = 2^m$. In particular, the Weyl closure $W(I_m)$ of I_m and the function ${}_1F_1$ of a diagonal matrix are holonomic.

Some more properties of I_m

- ▶ I_2 holonomic, I_4 not holonomic
- ▶ $I_m \subsetneq W(I_m)$ already for $m = 2$
- ▶ computation of $W(I_m)$ not feasible for $m \geq 3$
- ▶ decomposition of $\text{Char}(I_m)$ computable for $m = 2, 3$
 $m = 4$: fixed parameters a, c , finite field

Characteristic Variety and Singular Locus

Singular locus of Muirhead's ideal

[3, Theorem 5.1]

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$ (and $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\frac{k}{2} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \leq m-1\}$).¹ Then the singular locus of I_m agrees with the singular locus of $W(I_m)$. It is the hyperplane arrangement

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid \prod_{i=1}^m x_i \cdot \prod_{k \neq \ell} (x_k - x_\ell) = 0 \right\}.$$

Sketch of proof

$$\subseteq \text{in}_{(0,1)}(I) \supseteq \langle \text{in}_{(0,1)}(P_1), \dots, \text{in}_{(0,1)}(P_m) \rangle$$

- ⊇ ▶ Lemma: $p \in \mathbb{C}^m$ with distinct coordinates, one of which is zero. Then the space of formal power series solutions to I_m centered at p is of dimension at most 2^{m-1} .
- ▶ Lemma: $p = (p_1, \dots, p_m) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ with $\#\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} = m-1$. Then the space of formal power series solutions to I_m centered at p is of dimension at most $2^{m-2} \cdot 3$.
- ▶ Combine with the Theorem of Cauchy–Kowalevski–Kashiwara

¹The assumption on c can actually be dropped.

Characteristic variety of $W(I_m)$

[3, Corollary 5.7]

The characteristic variety of $W(I_m)$ contains the zero section and the conormal bundles of the irreducible components of \mathcal{A} , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Char}(W(I_m)) \supseteq & V(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \cup \bigcup_i V(x_i, \xi_1, \dots, \widehat{\xi}_i, \dots, \xi_m) \\ & \cup \bigcup_{i \neq j} V(x_i - x_j, \xi_i + \xi_j, \xi_1, \dots, \widehat{\xi}_i, \dots, \widehat{\xi}_j, \dots, \xi_m). \end{aligned}$$

Proof

Combining Theorem 5.1 and the theorem of Sato–Kawai–Kashiwara proves the claim.

Characteristic variety of $W(I_m)$

Let $J_0|J_1 \dots J_k$ denote a partition of $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$, such that only J_0 may possibly be empty. Denote by $C_{J_0|J_1 \dots J_k}$ the linear subspace

$$V(\{x_j \mid j \in J_0\} \cup \{\sum_{i \in J_\ell} \xi_i \mid \ell = 1, \dots, k\} \cup \bigcup_{\ell=1}^k \{x_i - x_j \mid i, j \in J_\ell\}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2m}.$$

[3, Conjecture 6.2]

The (reduced) characteristic variety of $W(I_m)$ is the following arrangement of m -dimensional linear spaces:

$$\text{Char}(W(I_m))^{\text{red}} = \bigcup_{[m] = J_0|J_1 \dots J_k} C_{J_0|J_1 \dots J_k}.$$

In particular, it has B_{m+1} many irreducible components, where B_n denotes the n -th *Bell number*².

² $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = 1, 1, 2, 5, 15, 52, 203, 877, 4140, \dots$

Upper bound for $\text{Char}(I_m)$

For a partition $J_0|J_1 \dots J_k$ as before, we denote by $\widehat{C}_{J_0|J_1 \dots J_k}$ the linear subspace

$$V(\{x_j \mid j \in J_0\} \cup \bigcup_{\ell=1}^k \{x_i - x_j \mid i, j \in J_\ell\} \cup \{\sum_{i \in J_\ell} \xi_i \mid \ell = 1, \dots, k \text{ s.t. } |J_\ell| \leq 2\}).$$

Clearly, $\widehat{C}_{J_0|J_1 \dots J_k} \supseteq C_{J_0|J_1 \dots J_k}$ with equality iff $|J_\ell| \leq 2$ for all $\ell \geq 1$.

[3, Proposition 6.3]

The (reduced) characteristic variety of I_m is contained in the arrangement of the linear spaces $\widehat{C}_{J_0|J_1 \dots J_k}$, i.e.:

$$\text{Char}(I_m)^{\text{red}} \subseteq \bigcup_{[m] = J_0|J_1 \dots J_k} \widehat{C}_{J_0|J_1 \dots J_k}.$$

Examples

Computation for $m = 2$ in $\mathbb{Q}(a, c)[x_1, x_2]\langle \partial_1, \partial_2 \rangle$

$$\text{Char}(W(I_2))^{\text{red}} = V(x_1, x_2) \cup V(x_1, \xi_2) \cup V(\xi_1, x_2) \cup V(\xi_1, \xi_2) \cup V(\xi_1 + \xi_2, x_1 - x_2).$$

Computations for $m = 3$, generic a, c

$\text{Char}(I_3)^{\text{red}}$ decomposes into the $15 = B_4$ irreducible components

$$\begin{aligned} & V(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cup V(\xi_1, x_2, x_3) \cup V(x_1, \xi_2, x_3) \cup V(x_1, x_2, \xi_3) \\ & \cup V(\xi_1, \xi_2, x_3) \cup V(\xi_1, x_2, \xi_3) \cup V(x_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \cup V(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \\ & \cup V(x_1 - x_2, \xi_1 + \xi_2, x_3) \cup V(x_1 - x_3, \xi_1 + \xi_3, x_2) \cup V(x_2 - x_3, \xi_2 + \xi_3, x_1) \\ & \cup V(x_1 - x_2, \xi_1 + \xi_2, \xi_3) \cup V(x_1 - x_3, \xi_1 + \xi_3, \xi_2) \cup V(x_2 - x_3, \xi_2 + \xi_3, \xi_1) \\ & \cup V(x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3, \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3). \end{aligned}$$

Computations for $m = 4$ with fixed a, c over a finite field

Computations suggest that $\text{Char}(I_4)^{\text{red}}$ decomposes into $51 = B_5 - 1$ irreducible components. One of them, namely $V(x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_3, x_1 - x_4)$, is 5-dimensional.

Question

Is there an intrinsic description of Muirhead's ideal using more advanced tools from the theory of \mathcal{D} -modules?

[3, Problem 6.7]

Compute the Weyl closure $W(I_m)$ of I_m for any m .

[3, Problem 6.8]

Show that $\text{Char}(W(I_m))$ (and possibly $\text{Char}(I_m)$) are invariant under the action of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $T^*\mathbb{A}^m = \mathbb{A}^m \times \mathbb{A}^m$ given by scalar multiplication on the factors.

[3, Problem 6.9]

Can the scaling relation ${}_1F_1(a; c)(\frac{1}{a}X) \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow \infty} {}_0F_1(c)(X)$ be used to deduce a relation between $\text{Char}(I_m)$ and the characteristic variety of the corresponding ideal generated by the annihilating operators of ${}_0F_1$?

References

- [1] M. Adamer, András C. Lörincz, A.-L. S., and B. Sturmfels. *Algebraic Analysis of Rotation Data*. *Alg. Stat.* **11** (2020), 189–211.
- [2] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann. *Singular 4-1-3—A computer algebra system for polynomial computations*. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>, 2020.
- [3] P. Görlach, C. Lehn, and A.-L. S. *Algebraic Analysis of the Hypergeometric Function ${}_1F_1$ of a Matrix Argument*. *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*, November 2020.
- [4] H. Hashiguchi, Y. Numata, N. Takayama, and A. Takemura. *The holonomic gradient method for the distribution function of the largest root of a Wishart matrix*. *J. Multivar. Anal.* **117** (2013), 296–312.
- [5] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi, and T. Tanisaki. *D-Modules, Perverse Sheaves, and Representation Theory*. *Progress in Mathematics* **236**, Birkhäuser, 2008.
- [6] T. Koyama. *The annihilating ideal of the Fisher integral*. *Kyushu J. Math.* **74** (2020), 415–427.
- [7] J. Martín-Morales and V. Levandovskyy. *dmod.lib: A Singular:Plural library for algorithms for algebraic D-modules*. https://www.singular.uni-kl.de/Manual/4-2-0/sing_537.htm
- [8] R. J. Muirhead. *Aspects of multivariate statistical theory*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1982. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics.
- [9] H. Nakayama, K. Nishiyama, M. Noro, K. Ohara, T. Sei, N. Takayama, and A. Takemura. *Holonomic Gradient Descent and its Application to the Fisher–Bingham Integral*. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **47** (2011), 639–658.
- [10] M. Noro. *System of Partial Differential Equations for the Hypergeometric Function ${}_1F_1$ of a Matrix Argument on Diagonal Regions*. ISSAC '16: Proceedings of the ACM on International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (2016), 381–388.
- [11] M. Saito, B. Sturmfels, and N. Takayama. *Gröbner Deformations of Hypergeometric Differential Equations*. *Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics* **6**, Springer, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [12] A.-L. S. and B. Sturmfels. *D-Modules and Holonomic Functions*. arXiv:1910.01395 [math.AG], 2019. To appear in the proceedings of the MATH+ Fall School on Algebraic Geometry.
- [13] T. Sei, H. Shibata, A. Takemura, K. Ohara, and N. Takayama. *Properties and Applications of Fisher Distribution on the Rotation Group*. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **116** (2013), 440–455.
- [14] N. Takayama, T. Koyama, T. Sei, H. Nakayama, K. Nishiyama. *hgm: Holonomic Gradient Method and Gradient Descent*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=hgm>
- [15] D. Zeilberger. *A Holonomic Systems Approach to Special Functions Identities*. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **32** (1990), 321–368.

Thank you very much for your attention!